# Long-term survival of melanoma patients stratified by a clinicopathologic and gene expression profile (CP-GEP model): A multi-center United States cohort study

W.Y. Yu<sup>1\$</sup>, A. Meves<sup>2\$</sup>, S. Hill<sup>3</sup>, K. Honda<sup>3</sup>, B.R. Rohr<sup>3</sup>, J. Jackson<sup>4</sup>, S. Venna<sup>5</sup>, M. Kolodney<sup>6</sup>, R. Wever<sup>7</sup>, J. Dwarkasing<sup>7</sup>, K.M. McMasters<sup>8</sup>, <u>M.E. Egger<sup>8</sup></u> 1. Department of Dermatology, Oregon Health and Science University, Portland, Ohio, USA; 2. Department of Pathology, Intermountain Healthcare, Salt Lake City, Utah, USA; 5. Inova Melanoma and Skin Cancer Center, Inova Schar Cancer Center, Inova Schar Cancer Institute, Fairfax, Virginia, USA; 5. Inova Melanoma and Skin Cancer Center, Inova Schar Cancer Institute, Fairfax, Virginia, USA; 7. SkylineDx B.V., 3062 ME Rotterdam, The Netherlands; 8. Division of Surgical Oncology, The Hiram C Polk, Jr MD Department of Surgery, University of Louisville, Louisville, Kentucky, USA \$ These authors contributed equally to this work.

## Introduction

- ✤ >97,000 newly cutaneous melanoma (CM) cases diagnosed in 2023 makes it the 5<sup>th</sup> most common cancer in the US<sup>1</sup>.
- Sentinel lymph node biopsy (SLNB) is the gold standard for staging intermediate and thick melanomas<sup>2</sup> but new stratification tools are being developed.
- The CP-GEP model has been developed and validated to predict SLNB status, and recently long-term survival outcomes were evaluated in European cohorts<sup>3-7</sup>.
- Aim: In this US multi-center study we investigate the long-term survival of CM patients stratified by CP-GEP.

### Methods

- CM patients included from six U.S. institutions.
- CP-GEP model performed on archived primary tissue samples. CP-GEP model includes Breslow thickness, patient's age and expression of 8
- genes and has a binary outcome: High Risk vs Low Risk<sup>3</sup>.
- ✤ 5-year Relapse-Free Survival (RSS), Distant Metastasis-Free Survival (DMFS), and Melanoma-Specific Survival (MSS) were assessed.
- ✤ 11 patients were excluded for the survival analysis due to missing survival data.

### Study cohort represents real-world CM population

Table

Patient demographics and clinicopathologic characteristics						
Variable	Level	US validation N=594				
Gender	Female Male	263 (44.3%) 331 (55.7%)				
Age (years)	Median [1QR, 3QR]	62 (51, 71)				
Breslow thickness (mm)	Median [1QR-3QR]	1.40 (1.00, 2.50)				
Ulceration	Absent Present Unknown	452 (76.1%) 137 (23.1%) 5 (0.8%)				
SLNB outcome	Negative Positive	485 (81.6%) 109 (18.4%)				
CP-GEP	Low Risk High Risk	198 (33.3%) 396 (66.7%)				
Clark level	II III IV V Unknown	2 (0.3%) 43 (7.2%) 307 (51.7%) 19 (3.2%) 223 (37.5%)				
Primary tumor location	Head/Neck Trunk Upper Extremities Lower Extremities Other	108 (18.2%) 213 (35.9%) 109 (18.4%) 129 (21.7%) 35 (5.9%)				
Histologic type	Superficial spreading Nodular Other	280 (47.1%) 118 (19.9%) 196 (33.0%)				
Angiolymphatic Invasion	Absent Present Unknown	284 (47.8%) 26 (4.4%) 284 (47.8%)				





Figure 1 Distribution of both clinical stages and T-stages of the combined US cohort, left and right respectively.

# $\succ$ CP-GEP is able to risk stratify CM patients across all clinical stages. $\succ$ CP-GEP Low Risk patients have 5-year survival outcome of >90%.





Figure 2 Kaplan-Meier curves showing 5-year RFS, DMFS, and MSS of patients diagnosed with Stage I-III CM stratified by CP-GEP as Low vs High Risk.

# 5-year survival outcome for Stage I/II patients stratified by CP-GEP



T1 30%

> **Figure 3** Kaplan-Meier curves showing 5-year RFS, DMFS, and MSS of patients with negative SLNB.

# Take home message

# GEP



	Ν	Events RFS	5-yrs RFS, 95%CI		Events DMFS	5-yrs DMFS, 95%Cl		Events MSS	5-yrs MSS, 95%Cl	
Stage III	107	44	51.4	[39.6-61.9]	30	67.3	[56.1-76.3]	18	67.9	[52.6-79.3]
CP-GEP LR	16	1	91.7	[53.9-98.8]	1	91.7	[53.9-98.8]	1	80	[20.4-96.9]
CP-GEP HR	91	43	44.6	[32.2-56.2]	29	63.1	[50.7-73.2]	17	66	[49.3-78.3]

**Figure 4** Kaplan-Meier curves showing 5-year RFS, DMFS, and MSS of patients diagnosed with Stage III CM stratified by CP-GEP.

- 5-year survival outcome of >90%. compared to the High Risk patients.
- 2) AJCC 8<sup>th</sup> Edition Melanoma Staging System

- relapse using CP-GEP.
- for disease relapse.
- using a CP-GEP model.

## 5-year survival outcome for Stage III patients stratified by CP-

# Conclusions

> CP-GEP Low Risk patients across all clinical stages show

> CP-GEP High Risk categorization identified 83% (48/58) of the stage I/II patients who developed a recurrence.

 $\succ$  CP-GEP is able to identify a subgroup of Low Risk stage III patients who have a better long-term survival outcome

### References

1) SEER database: https://seer.cancer.gov/statfacts/html/melan.html

3) Bellomo et al. JCO PO 2020 Model combining tumor molecular and clinicopathologic risk factors predicts sentinel lymph node metastasis in primary cutaneous melanoma.

4) Yousaf et al. IJD 2021 Validation of CP-GEP (Merlin assay) for predicting sentinel lymph node metastasis in primary cutaneous melanoma patients: A US cohort study.

5) Eggermont et al. EJC 2020 Identification of stage I/IIA melanoma patients at high risk for disease

6) Mulder et al. Cancers 2022 Using a CP-GEP model to identify stage I/II melanoma patients at risk

7) Amaral et al. EJC 2022 Identification of stage I/II melanoma patients at high risk for recurrence